## JOINT HEARING BY TAMP FOR REVISION OF RATE STRUCTURE FOR GANDHIDHAM LAND ON 30<sup>TH</sup> JULY, 2016 AT ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING, KANDLA PORT TRUST, GANDHIDHAM.

GCCI team represented by President – Mr. Dinesh Gupta, Mu. Murlidhar Jgani, Mr. Mahesh Tirthani, Mr. Narendra Thacker, Mr. Ashok Vora alongwith Advocate - Mr. Murari Sharma

GCCI submitted written representation vide letter No. GCCI/223 dated 30.07.2016 to KPT and TAMP.

The gist of submission of points by us during TAMP hearing process is as under:

While pleading on behalf of GCCI, Mr. Murari Sharma referred written submission of GCCI and quoted related contents of annexures. He strongly and effectively represented the matter point by giving legal touch to every aspects as under:

- 1. Highlighted back-ground of the subject.
- 2. Questioned legally the role of TAMP in township's land matter and highlighted TAMP's role and jurisdiction limited to port operation matters only.
- 3. Referring dictionary meaning of TARIFF and RENT which differs totally. Hence, it attracts Bombay Rent Act and its provision in this township's land matters.
- 4. KPT is a mere administrator of land.
- 5. Township has two parts of one complex where WARDS area is of SRC Ltd., and SECTORS area is of KPT. Wherein both agencies have played different role for the development of land.
- 6. This Township land was not acquired for port. Do not correlate land with port activities.
- 7. The draft land policy of Gandhidham Township is under approval by cabinet. Hence, differed this revision and hike till then.
- 8. Land being state subject, Jantri is from Sate and not from Central Govt. Jantri has no relation with Market Value. This approach is absolutely imaginary and oppressive. This is high handness of KPT.
- 9. Highlighted Supreme Court observations while ruling for BPT with reference to commercial activities and land for state.
- 10. Quoted with representative examples with comparative financial impact of proposed and present charges with reference to commercial plot and residential plot of Gandhidham Township.
- 11. Considering the market rates for calculating the transfer fees, mortgage fee etc. is not rational and unjustifiable.
- 12. Submitted to consider, the spirit behind plot allotment to KPT employees to fulfil welfare obligation while revising rate structure of parcel of these land. These KPT employees have toiled sincerely for the development of KPT and this exorbitant hike will be unjustifiable and unbearable.

\*\*\*\*\*\*